and for thatieason the adverse is more vocal, and heard of sourse, more than the one 'that goos calmly avout his': usiness and sees you krow, the Indian who, who comes across withremarkable adarmation that is not eiven credit for in the sense that eople know.
(What ai out relationsnips between the rupils, thems lives, between the white and the indian?).

Ordinarily it's cood. we find them, I think the whi e misdrennould be glad to meet on an qquai social basis with the Indian--the majority of trem. And', ut their, the lanish nature of the indian, where he's always lived and his $e$. poredicence to speak $u_{p}$ is hardicap to the indian--the majowity but as far as relations in the $s$ : oolroom wi.n a a h o: her , the are ood ant , etter now tnen they were when - : irst came here, 19 years
(How main is sorething like $t$ is influenea by the attituge of the parent?) Probaly a larger per ent than we : ealice because the financial loss is-comes on the parent in . he's the one to express himiself. The renter who's, leasinf from the Indian and if he"resent tiem calling on hir at anytime for assistance, wnether he oweshif aything or not, he expresses hirse.f and that -ther. reflects ir: the enilriren of that parent no + think, reiliy, the larger percentage of the outs onemess comes irom $t$ e parent ather $t$ en the cnildrin. IAve heard more "U., $t$ at's the "nd:an for you", when su: et•ng na fens that tney don't like, you know.
( ${ }^{\prime}$ o you think thio atitit de is becomn modifita')

 the counter art the the young -ndia --is he:.
, thly inink tho youn -ndzar is. .e firc fore of inem going on through and :raủizne fr $m$ hish sotool.
(This used to not be the case.).
And goirg incotra e s hools or furt erine in a vade: ic educatior, se voddary scmoling: There rore of them--a larger percentage of them now thar, there were

