

some scattered trees at \$130 an acre. Totalling, \$9,100. Contributory value of the improvements \$8,900. Minerals: nominal, considered in land value nothing besides it. Total sale price, \$18,000. This sale unit is located approximately 3/4 mile of the subject. The sale and the subject property are considered comparable in soil, topography and location. The sale is superior to the subject to the percent of open land, fencing and improvements. It is less desirable to the subject in water supply.

The 3rd attachment is also headed appraisal report, property of Joe O'Field, Cherokee 18526.

Market data: comparable sales release analysis. Sale number 2 continued.

This sale indicates the value of \$85 to \$95 per acre for the subject property. Sale number 3. Grantor, Lora E. McLender, grantee, Carl T. Wassam. Recorded: Delaware County Book 253, page 450. Legal description, SE 1/4 SE 1/4, Sec. 14, T. 20 N. R. 23 E. 40 acres m/l. Date of sale, 8-16-66, consideration \$7,000 authority, the grantee. Break down of sale. Land, 15 acres, open fairly level pasture and 25 acres wooded rolling pasture at \$125 an acre. Totalling, \$4,500. Contributory value of improvements, \$2,500, minerals, nominal, considered in land value and it's blank beside that. Total sale price \$7,000. This sale unit is located approximately across the road NW of the subject, the sale and the subject property are considered comparable in topography and soil. The sale is superior to the subject in location percent of open pasture, fencing and structural improvements, it is less desirable to the subject in stock water supply. The 3rd attachment to this letter is headed, the first page is headed title 25-chapter 1 and it seems to be regulations, of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, and there is an awful lot in there and I wouldn't want to read it all. Perhaps we can come to some other way of getting that relevant portion in.

I would like at this time to know if the problem we had earlier with the attendance here of persons from the Bureau of Indian Affairs has been resolved?

Referee: As far as I'm concerned from the letter you read there, from the Bureau would permit them to serve as a witness for the Bureau whether or not you desire to call them or not would be your decision.

Mr. T.: My impression was from the previous talk that they were not here and privileged to testify as a witness and that's what I'm really asking, that matter has been resolved?

Referee: Nothing further has been done on that other than the information you read in the letter, I have no objection to their giving testimony if you feel they should be called on.

Mr. T.: My standing is that the persons present at this meeting are; at this hearing, are here by invitation and I suppose it might be called that, was to either the area director or his agent as witnesses and if there is someone here in that capacity, I think we should know if there is someone who isn't here in that capacity for the Bureau; I think we should know.

Referee: Let me ask that question then, Is there anyone here that meets that requirement? From the Bureau?

Mrs. Vaught?

Mrs. V.: (not clear) be requested here by the Department of Public Welfare accepted statements that were in the record, you see, we appeared here with no records because because they were not subpoenaed.