

the water rights. So I think with this last decision for the Cherokees and the Choctaws and the others of the 5 Civilized Tribes in regards to the Arkansas River Dam, bed, the Supreme court decided in favor of the Indians. It is my thoughts that the water rights, then, belongs to the Indians to the middle of the stream where they claim that our line is. I think that we own that. And I think that Kaws and the Otoes and the Poncas and the Tunkawas own on the other side wherein that they build this dam in here and going to produce electricity, then, I think, that the Indians are entitled to kilo watt or royalty; and, also, if they they sell water out of the these main streams to where the Indians own the water, I think that the Indians ought to have a water rights royalty too. I know in the case of the Hulah Dam that nothing was reserved for the Indians not even the royalty. We lost all of the royalty under that particular dam over there. We don't even own it. They're selling water now to Bartlesville. And I think that the Indians ought to have an income on it; but I think we're going to have to think on bigger terms on water rights for Indian people not only here at home but all over the United States. They're talking about---

Alice: That is boundary damages to preserve the ---

In my last trip to Washington, I did take this up with--- Well, I went to Commissioner Bruce first, and talked before him that why the Indians of Osage county and also Kay county and Logan county shouldn't reserve the shore line around this Kaw River Dam for the Indian people to establish recreational facilities for people. In other cases, where there is water rights, the White people always reserve the shore line around