

about it should the late chief's name receive more votes. Then there comes the question how would the new council react to that and it might say, we have no assurance that the next council will fulfill what the Co-operative Party intends to do and I have talked to a few of my people and they did not go along with that idea, and whether or not the Co-operative Party can pull off what they are trying to do and that remains to be seen. And like I say, it is just not the way that the Indian thinks. I would think that he served our people well, that he is gone, and we should let-- don't bother him anymore, he has gone on. And we should have to--and it's not right what they are trying to do. Should they succeed in their intentions, we have no assurance that the next council will adhere to their promise! That is a thing--like I said, that is thing that we should not burden our incoming council with, and I think that if our councilmen have enough problems on their hands without getting more problems, and I think that that would involve changing our resolution and changing their regulations at a whim. I think that the regulations were set up to follow. And it just remains to be seen whether they will succeed in their intentions. And I for one would not like to--don't want to see the regulations changed and if they are going to be changed they should have been changed long before. And I do think that if there should be a law or regulation passed that should the chief die while in office that the assistant chief automatically step in his shoes, so to speak, so that we should not be without a chief. That's just the way that-- (End of Side A--continued on side B)

---that's the way that I believe that most of the people feel and why the regulations have not been changed to that effect is one of the things I imagine the incoming council will have to put into regulations, so that we