

STATE OF OKLAHOMA,  
SEMINOLE COUNTY,

SS.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT THEREOF.

W. D. Grisso,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Consolidated Case  
No. 14,202.

Maud S. Spurr and C. A.  
Henderson, et al.,

Defendants,

REPORT OF REFEREE.

Comes now the referee, C. Guy Outlip, and most respectfully shows to this Honorable Court and the judge thereof that after an appointment and qualification as by law required he heard the evidence in reference to the above entitled consolidated case and that upon such hearing the following appearances were made: W. D. Grisso appeared for himself; Rogers Lumber Company, intervener, by W. D. Grisso, its attorney; A. D. Griffith, intervener, by W. H. Gilliam, his attorney; G. J. Craddock, intervener, by H. A. Cassway, his attorney; Sanitary Plumbing Company, intervener, by J. H. Weston, its attorney; B & L Electric Company, intervener, by Luther Bohanan, its attorney; Acme Screen Company, intervener, by J. H. Huggins, its attorney; and the defendant, Maud S. Spurr, appeared in person and by her attorney, V. R. Biggers.

After hearing the evidence and argument of counsel, your referee begs leave to report his findings of fact and conclusions of law as follows: I find that the defendant, C. A. Henderson, is in default.

I find that the defendant, Maud Spurr, is indebted to the plaintiff, W. D. Grisso, in the sum of \$1949.44, and that the plaintiff has a lien upon the following described property, situate in the City of Seminole according to the recorded plat thereof, to-wit: Lots Eight and Nine in Block Twenty-two, Fairmount Addition to the City of Seminole, Oklahoma.

I further find that the defendant, Maud S. Spurr, is indebted to the intervener, The Sanitary Plumbing Company, in the sum of \$322.40 and that a lien has been filed against the said

Page two.

above described property as by law provided.

I further find that the defendant, Maud S. Spurr, is indebted to the B & L Electric Company in the sum of \$512.25 and that said company has a lien against the said above described property.

I find that the defendant, Maud S. Spurr, is indebted to C. J. Craddock in the sum of \$245.12 and that said Craddock has a lien against the above described property.

I further find that the intervener, T. H. Rogers Lumber Company, has a lien for \$208.75 and that the defendant, Maud S. Spurr, is indebted to intervener, in that amount.

I further find that Maud S. Spurr, is indebted to the Ace Screen Company in the sum of \$121.75 and that it has a lien against the above described property for said amount.

I further find that the defendant, Maud S. Spurr, is indebted to ~~xxx~~ A. B. Griffith for hauling and trucking in the sum of \$\_\_\_\_\_ and that the said Griffith has a lien against the above described property for said amount.

I further find that the plaintiff and interveners should be allowed in each of the above instances a reasonable attorney's fee and interest at six per cent. from the date of the last furnishing of work or material upon the above described property.

I further find that no testimony was adduced as between C. H. Henderson, the contractor on said Spurr construction, and Mrs. Maud S. Spurr, the owner of the premises, and I can make no findings or recommendations in regard to any contract or controversy as between them.

#### CONCLUSIONS.

I conclude as a matter of law and recommend to the court that F. D. Grisco have judgment against the defendant, Maud S. Spurr, and a lien upon Lots Eight and Nine in Block Twenty-two, Fairmount Addition, aforesaid, for the sum of \$1949.44, together with interest thereon at the rate of six per cent. from the first day of May, 1929, and attorney's fee in the sum of \$100.00. I recommend that the Sanitary Plumbing Company have judgment against

Page three.

Maud S. Spurr and a lien upon said property in the sum of \$322.40, together with interest thereon at six per cent. from May 1, 1929, and an attorney's fee in the sum of \$30.00. I recommend that the B & L Electric Company have judgment against Maud S. Spurr and a lien upon the above described property in the sum of \$312.25, together with interest thereon at six per cent. from the first day of May, 1929, and an attorney's fee in the sum of \$30.00.

I further recommend that C. J. Craddock have judgment against the defendant, Maud S. Spurr, and a lien upon the above described property in the sum of \$245.12, together with interest thereon at six per cent. from the first day of May, 1929, and attorney's fee of \$25.00. I further recommend that the T. H. Rogers Lumber Company should have judgment against Maud S. Spurr and a lien upon said property in the sum of \$208.75, together with interest at six per cent. from May 1, 1929, and an attorney's fee of \$25.00. I further recommend that the Acme Screen Company should have judgment against Maud S. Spurr and a lien against the said above described property in the sum of \$121.75, together with interest thereon at six per cent. from the first day of May, 1929, and an attorney's fee for \$15.00. I further recommend that A. B. Griffith should have judgment against Maud S. Spurr and a Lien upon said property, in the sum of \$\_\_\_\_\_ and an attorney's fee of \$10.00, together with interest at six per cent. from the first day of May, 1929. I further recommend that these parties and each and all of them should have judgment against C. A. Henderson in the separate and individual amounts, together with interest and attorney's fees, as above set forth, and recommend such judgment to the court.

I conclude as a matter of law that the costs should be taxed against the defendants, C. A. Henderson and Maud S. Spurr.

Respectfully submitted

\_\_\_\_\_  
Referee.