

J-Nº82.

Marquet E. Law, et al.

vs

b. & b. Nations.

Nº25

Sanction Diet. Court.

Nº

Dawes Commission.

A. H. Law, et al, was the
original style of the case.

See A. H. Law,

Disboning, No. 81.

Margaret E. Law et al. No 82

Deny

+ Margaret Elizabeth Law ^{or Margaret E. Law} (wife of A. H. Law)

+ Lou E. Law

+ Albert H. Law

+ Kate B. Law

+ Charles B. Law

Chickasaw.

SUMMONS.

United States of America, }
Indian Territory, } ss
Choctaw and Chickasaw Citizenship Court. }

The President of the United States of America,

To the United States Marshal for the Indian Territory, Northern District,

GREETING:

You are hereby Commanded to Summons Green McCurtain, Principal Chief of the Choctaw Nation, to answer on behalf of said nation, in twenty days after the service of this summons upon him, as Principal Chief of said Nation a complaint in Equity filed against the Choctaw and Chickasaw nation in the Choctaw and Chickasaw Citizenship Court, in the Indian Territory, at Tishomongo, by Margaret E. Law, et al,

and warn him that upon his failure as said Principal Chief to answer on behalf of said nation, the complaint will be taken for confessed, and you will make return of the summons instanter;

And you are further commanded to notify said Green McCurtain, Principal Chief aforesaid, that the papers, files and proceedings in the case of Margaret E. Law, et al, File No. 25 in the District Court for the Southern District of the Indian Territory, has been transferred to the Choctaw and Chickasaw Citizenship Court, and that the certificate of the clerk of said court for said Southern District, Indian Territory, has been attached thereto.

WITNESS the Honorable Spencer B. Adams, Chief Judge, Walter L. Weaver and Henry S. Foote, Associate Judges, and the Seal thereof, at South McAlester, Indian Territory, aforesaid, this 24th day of March A. D., 1903.

By Jas B. Bussard Clerk.
E. B. B. Deputy.

SUMMONS.

United States of America, }
Indian Territory, } ss
Choctaw and Chickasaw Citizenship Court. }

The President of the United States of America,

To the United States Marshal for the Indian Territory, Southern District,

GREETING:

You are hereby Commanded to Summons P. S. Moseley, Governor of the Chickasaw Nation, to answer on behalf of said nation, in twenty days after the service of this summons upon him, as Governor of said Nation a complaint in Equity filed against the Choctaw and Chickasaw nation in the Choctaw and Chickasaw Citizenship Court, in the Indian Territory, at Tishomongo,, by Margarot E. Law, et al,

and warn him that upon his failure as said Governor to answer on behalf of said nation, the complaint will be taken for confessed, and you will make return of the summons instanter;

And you are further commanded to notify said P. S. Moseley Governor aforesaid, that the papers, files and proceedings in the case of Margarot E. Law, et al, File No. 25 in the District Court for the Southern District of the Indian Territory, has been transferred to the Choctaw and Chickasaw Citizenship Court, and that the certificate of the clerk of said court for said Southern District, Indian Territory, has been attached thereto.

WITNESS the Honorable Spencer B. Adams, Chief Judge, Walter L. Weaver and Henry S. Foote, Associate Judges, and the Seal thereof, at South McAlester, Indian Territory, aforesaid, this 24th day of March A. D., 1903.

Gas B. Bassada Clerk.
By E. L. B. Witt Deputy.

MARSHAL'S RETURN.

United States of America,
Indian Territory,
DISTRICT.

I received this summons this 1st day of March A. D. 1903,
at 10 o'clock m. and served same by copy, as follows:

Personally on P. S. Moseley, at Indian Territory,

This 1st day of March, 1903, at Muskogee, Indian Territory.

By _____ Deputy.

The certificate of the clerk of said court for said _____ District Indian Territory,
Indian Territory, has been transmitted to the Choctaw and Chickasaw Citizenship Court, and that
File No. _____ is the District Court for the _____ District of the
that the undersigned and broccedings in the case of _____

and you are further commanded to notify said P. S. Moseley, Governor of said
confident will be taken for consideration, and you will make return of the summons instant.

copy

No. 82-5

SUMMONS
IN EQUITY.

Margaret E. Law et al
vs.

Choctaw and Chickasaw Nations.

Summons issued 24 day of
March, 1903. Returnable instant.

Marshal's Fees.

Service	-	\$
Miles	- - -	\$
Expenses	-	\$
TOTAL		\$

Bruce + Bruce

Attorneys for Plaintiff.

Aidmore

IN THE CHOCTAW AND CHICKASAW CITIZENSHIP COURT.

MARGARET E. LAW, et al.,)	
) Plaintiffs,	
vs.)	PETITION.
CHICKASAW and CHOCTAW)	
NATIONS, - - - - -) Defendants.	

Come now the petitioners, Margaret Elizabeth Law, wife of A. H. Law, and her children, Lou E. Law, Albert H. Law, Kate B. Law and Charles B. Law, and respectfully represent and show to the court that they and each of them are now, and at all the dates and times hereinafter mentioned were and at all times since have been, residents of the Chickasaw Nation, Indian Territory; that they are each and all citizens and members of the Chickasaw Nation or Tribe of Indians; that they each and all applied to the Commission to the Five Civilized Tribes for citizenship and enrollment as members of said Chickasaw Tribe or Nation of Indians; that said Commission heard said application, and these petitioners were by the judgment of said Commission denied citizenship and enrollment as members of said Chickasaw Tribe of Indians; that said application was made to said Commission on the 9th day of September, 1896..

That thereafter, and within the time prescribed by law, these petitioners appealed from the judgment of said Commission to the Five Civilized Tribes, to the United States Court for the Southern District of the Indian Territory, at Ardmore; that said appeal was docketed in said court in a cause styled A. H. Law, et al. plaintiffs, vs. Chickasaw Nation, defendants, and numbered 2d

That thereafter, in said United States Court for the Southern

and final trial was had of said cause No. 20, styled A. H. Law, et al., plaintiffs, vs. Chickasaw Nation, defendant, and said court therein determined, and adjudged these petitioners, each and all, to be citizens and members of the said Chickasaw Nation or Tribe of Indians, and ordered and directed said Commission to the Five Civilized Tribes to place the names of these petitioners upon the tribal roll of said Chickasaw Nation or Tribe of Indians, as members thereof; which said judgment was rendered by, and entered of record in said court on the 22nd day of December, 1897.

Petitioners further show that by its judgment rendered on the 17th day of December, 1902, in a cause styled The Choctaw and Chickasaw Nations, or Tribes of Indians, plaintiffs, vs. J. T. Riddle, et al., defendants, this court adjudged and decreed all the judgments and decisions of the United States Courts for the Indian Territory, admitting to citizenship and enrollment as citizens of the Choctaw and Chickasaw Nations upon appeal from the Commission to the Five Civilized Tribes, the ten defendants named in said cause in this court, as well as those who had come in and made themselves parties to said cause, and all judgments rendered in favor of all persons similarly situated, null and void.

These petitioners state that they were not parties to said suit or cause of The Choctaw and Chickasaw Nations or Tribes of Indians plaintiffs, vs. J. T. Riddle, et al., defendants.

That this court did not have the power or authority or jurisdiction under the pleadings and evidence in said cause, to set aside or vacate the judgment theretofore rendered in the United States

Court for the Southern District of the Indian Territory, adjudging and establishing the citizenship and membership of these petitioners as citizens and members of said Chickasaw Tribe or Nation of Indians; and that said judgment of said United States Court for the Southern District of the Indian Territory, at Ardmore, declaring and adjudging these petitioners to be citizens and members of said Chickasaw Tribe or Nation of Indians, was not in any way affected, set aside or avoided, by said judgment of this court.

These petitioners, state, however, that inasmuch as this court has entered its judgment and decree setting aside all the judgments of said United States Court for the Southern District of the Indian Territory, where the parties thereto were similarly situated to the ten defendants named in said cause of The Choctaw and Chickasaw Nations or Tribes of Indians, plaintiffs, vs. J. T. Riddle, et al., defendants, the Commission to the Five Civilized Tribes is denying and will deny to these petitioners all the rights and privileges as such members of said Chickasaw Tribe or Nation of Indians; and petitioners will be denied and deprived of all their rights and privileges as citizens and members of said Chickasaw Tribe or Nation of Indians, unless the files, papers, and proceedings in said cause No. 25, styled A. H. Law, et al., plaintiffs, vs. Chickasaw Nation, defendant, in said United States Court for the Southern District of the Indian Territory, at Ardmore, be certified and sent to this court for further proceedings therein:

Now, therefore, these petitioners, still insisting upon their rights as members and citizens of the said Chickasaw Nation or Tribe of Indians, and without waiving any of the rights adjudged and decreed to belong to them under and by virtue of said judgment

thern District
and transfer to
proceedings in said
s, vs. Chickasaw
of the Choctaw
e cited and serv
oners be estab-

Quett Quett
Attorneys for Petitioners.

630

THE 701 PAGE 'ANNUAL' TO POLICE WASHINGTON LINE TO SHOW

7

Petition for appeal.

Morgan E. Lewis et al.

[illegible]

IN THE CHOCTAW AND CHICKASAW CITIZENSHIP COURT, SITTING AT
TISHOMINGO IN THE INDIAN TERRITORY,
NOVEMBER TERM, 1904 .

Margaret E. Law, et al.,
vs. No. 82.
Choctaw and Chickasaw Nations.

DECREE OF COURT.

On this the 29th day of November, 1904, this cause coming on for final decision, the same having heretofore been submitted upon the law and the evidence, and the Court being well and sufficiently advised in the premises, doth find that the plaintiffs, Margaret Elizabeth Law (wife of A. H. Law) or Margaret E. Law, Lou E. Law, Albert H. Law, Kate B. Law and Charles B. Law, are not entitled to be deemed or declared citizens of the Chickasaw Nation, or to enrollment as such or to any rights whatever flowing therefrom.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the petition of the plaintiffs, Margaret Elizabeth Law (wife of A. H. Law) or Margaret E. Law, Lou E. Law, Albert H. Law, Kate B. Law and Charles B. Law, be denied, and that they be declared not citizens of the Chickasaw Nation, and not entitled to enrollment as such citizens and not entitled to any rights whatever flowing therefrom.

.....
Chief Judge.

.....
Associate Judge.