

Bill No 66 Be it resolved, by the General Council of the Choctaw Nation Assembled

That this Council adjourn of March 3, 1906, and that this resolution shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage and approval.

Proposed by J. H. Harrison Approved this the 27th day of Feb 1906. Green M. Curtain P. C. C. N.

Attest Simon Pusley Rec Sec

Bill No 67 Resolution

Accepting the Report of J. S. Lawrey, Collector for the first District Choctaw Nation for the Quarters ended Sept 30, and Dec 31, 1905.

We your Committee, to whom was referred the report of J. S. Lawrey, District Collector for the first District of the Choctaw Nation, have carefully examined the said report in detail and find that it covers the following Quarters, to wit:

Quarter ending Sept 30 1905 \$261.96 " " Dec 31 1905 2463.23 Total - \$2725.19

Less 10 % Commission 507.51 Amount due Nation 4567.68

The total amount due the Nation is shown to be the sum of four thousand, five hundred and ²⁴seventy seven dollars and sixty eight cents \$4567.68

Receipt from National Treasurer

show that this amount has been paid in, and your Committee recommend the Adoption of the following resolution

Be it resolved by the General Council of the Choctaw Nation assembled

That the report of J. S. Lawrey, Collector for first District of the Choctaw Nation be and the same is hereby accepted as true and correct, and this resolution shall take effect and be in force from and after its passage and approval.

Proposed by Frank Tolson P. C. C. N. Approved this the 27th Feb 1906 Green M. Curtain P. C. C. N.

Attest Simon Pusley

Bill No 68 To the President: The General Council of the Choctaw Nation assembled most Respectfully represent that Abel McGill Berry, Isaac King and Norris Cooper, Choctaw Indians by blood, were convicted in the United States Court for the Western District of Arkansas, on November 5, 1901 of murder and sentenced to imprisonment for ~~five~~ live at hard labor on March 5, 1902, at the United States prison at Ft Leavenworth, Kansas.

The Council further respectfully represent that the evidence that brought about the conviction of the above named parties was purely circumstantial and was of such a character as to leave the unprejudice mind in great doubt as to their guilt. Since the admission