different inspectors who accompanied the commend of Gen. Hancock last spring. No copies have ever been received at this Post. I am very respectfully your obt. servt., H. I. Douglas, U. S. Inf., Commanding Post.

Capt. Douslas Nov. $5^{\prime} 67$ reports ahout the conflict at the Cimmaron Crossing between soldiers of Co."I" 37 th Inf. and citizens of that Ranch on the 29th of Sept. '67. "I have in the glard house at this Post Sgt. William Gleason and Qxx Opl. Thomas Geran of Co."I", 37th Inf. charged with killing two men on said date." Douslas asks instructions in regara to them.

Private Wiliam McDonald, Co. "A", U.S. Bra Inf., gets a Certificate of Dieability having this day been discharged.

Answer to Gen. Marcy addressed to Assistant Adjutant Gencral, Dept. of the Missouri, Ft. Leavenworth, Kansas.

November 1.
Sir:
Pursuant to your endorsement covering a report of an informal inspection made by General R. B. Marcy, Inspector General/ Department, and requiring an mappote explanatory report on my part. I have the bwnew honor respectfully to state as follows.

The whole tacrexx tenor of the rexpack report so much at variance with the impression left on the minds of officers of this Post of the general approval of Gen. Marcy, that I must confess, that I read the report with surprise. After reading to me a part of the contents of a letter in which he speaks kindly and $\not \propto$ approvingly of what he was pleased to call a prompt response to a surgestion of his in regard to $a$ train corralled above the "Cimarron Crossing" he stated in his report that he "prevailed" on the commanding officer to do certain things.. I do not propose to reconcile such inconsistences though the report

