some scattered trees at \$13) an apre. Totalling, \$9,100. Contributory value of the improvements \$8,900. Linerals: nominal, considered in land valuenothing besides. it. Total sale price, \$18,000. This sale unit is located approximately 3/4 mile of the subject. The sale and the subject property are considered comprable in soil, topography and location. The sale is superior to the subject to the per cent on open land, fercing and improvements. It is less desirable to the subject in water supply.

The 3rd attachment is also headed appraisal report, property of Joe/O'Field, Cherokee 18626.

Market data: comparable sales release analysis. Sale number 2 continued.

This sale indicates the value of \$85 to \$95 per acre for the subject property. Sale number 3. Grantor, Lora E. McLender, grantee, Carl T. Wassam. Recorded: Delaware County Book 253, page 450. Logal Coloription, SE & SE &, Sec. 14, T. 20 N. R. 23 E. 40 acres m/1. Date of sale, 8-16-66, consideration \$7,000 authority, the grantee. Break down of sale. Land, 15 acres, open fairly level pasture and 25 cores wooded rolling pasture at \$125 an acre. Totalling, \$4,500. Contrabutory value of improvements, \$2,500, minerals, nominal, considered in land value and its brank beside that. Total sale price \$7,000. This sale unit as located approximately across the road IW of the subject, the sale and the subject property are considered comparable in topography and soil. The sale is superior to the subject in location pur cent of open pasture, fencing and structural improvements, it is less desirable to the subject in stock water supp The 3rd attachment to this letter is headed, the first page is headed title 25chapter 1 and it seems to be regulations of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, and there is an awful lot in there and I wouldn't want to read it all. Perhaps we can come to some other way of getting that relevant portion in.

I would like at this time to know if the prolem we had earlier with the attendant here of persons from the Dureau of Indian Affairs has been resolved?

As far as I'm concerned from the letter you read there, from the Eureau would permit them to serve as a witness for the Bureau whether or not you desire to call them or not would be your decision.

by impression was from the previous tall that they were not here and previled gadto testify as a witness and thats what I'm really asking, that master has been resolved?

Nothing further has been done on that other than the information you read in the letter. I have no objection to their giving testimony if you feel they should le called on.

My standing is that the persons present at this meeting are; at this hearing, are here by invitation and I suppose it might be called that, was to either the arcu director or his agent as witnesses and if there is someone here in that capacity, · I think we should know if there is someone who isn't here in that capacity for the Bureau; I think we should know.

Let me ask that question then. Is there anyone here that meets that requirement From the Bureau?

Mrs. Veught?

(not clear) be requested here by the Department of Public Welfare accepted statements that were in the record, you see, we appeared here with no records because because they were not subpoensed.

್: ೨ತಿಇಲಿ೩೨೯

Referee/:

ir. T.:

Roferec: