spectator or a something that just happened while he was corrects to some destination. He was always neving.

(314 he ever tell you shout any of them?)

Yesh, one in particular about the Riowes raiding a train or it ween't exactly a train it was an army, oh what-yes-callit, oh they had horses and makes and a.....

(A supply train?)

supplies that the Indians go for food so they raid them for food. And like I told
you posterday that some of these coutoys down there disguise themselves as Indians
and raid these same trains only they took the money and not the food. That's why
all these differences developed because I blame the white man for doing that. Playing
trick on the Indians...getting the money and then letting the Indians take the
blame. For at that time and years they didn't have no stores and they me me place
to spend money. So therefore, I say the white man did something they shouldn't have done
stead from their own peoples then let somebody also take the blame. That's when
we started those differences....discrimination or whatever you might call it. But for
instance, if I stole something and give you the blame what would you calli me? Thate'
the same thing, when white man give us the blame for the robbing of their own people.
There good payrall moving from cap to camp and those "daiteman" drocced and painted
like Indians done what Indians did for food. Instead of taking the food they took the

(Now would the Indians go abot attacking a train like that?)

Well, I'm not no general or I'm not no chief but I coulân't tell you that. Because, in the ermy they have a my to attack and some way in the Indian wars, they have a chief or leader, what they call or use a strategy. That I don't know because I never was in a war and I never did.

(What I was wondering, didn't your grandfather tell you how they did it at that time!)

th youh, he teld no but I couldn't go into details about it. All I know is that he
would start a story right in the middle of an attack, the advancing, hellering, and see