April 9, 1912. Hon. John L. Springston. Vian. Oklahona. Dear Sire In the matter of the Sheppard estate: Under the law in force at the time of his death. his widow was entitled to a dower in his land to the extent of one third thereof for her life time, and it becomes the duty of the heirs to lay off and assign such dower as soon as practicable after the death of the husband, and the widow is entitled to remain in possession of the entire farm or place and have the use and rents there of until such dower is laid off and assigned to her. And it seems from Jacks vs. Dyer, 21 Arkansas, 234, that the widow cannot dispose of her dower interest until it has been assigned according to law; and it seems also from HoWhirter vs. Roberts, 40 Arkansas, 283, that the claim for dower is not barred by the statute of limitation so long as the heirs continue in possessions and any heir who is a minor shall act in the matter of assignment of dower through his guardian. Under the principle and rule that the law, at the time of the death governs and fixes the interest in his estate, and Sheppard having died in what is now Bequeyah County, prior to state, hood, in the year 1904, we would look to the law in force here at that time to determine the rights of the widow and heirs in the estate of the deceased. ORDER AND RULING CONFLICT Court Decision on Indian Loca Con-tency to Department Edict.

MURKCOHH Ok., May 12.—Follow-ing upon the bests of an order from the Department of the Interior that restricted Indiana could not give chat-Yours very truly, restricted Indiana could not give chattels mortgages upon their property without the approval of the Indianagent came the decision of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals at it Faul in the Meyers case, noiding the an Indian could not prevent a loss agent going up on a reservation as making chattel losses to the Indiana. The order to Dana H. Kelsey, Indianagentylaser for the Pive Civilization approved for the Five Civilizations would be held of no effect unless approved by the agents of the department came Salurday.

It was on the same day that Judy van Valkenburg rendered his decision the Meyers case, and this decision the Meyers case, and this decision when Hyte, LK-RP This man had several palaforgan is Dead. tial residences in different rgan, called the parts of le en - and one in the certain the contract of Cat fine cier. in the Moyers case, and this decisis held that the Indian agent could no interfere with a white man going upo as Indian reservation and making an Indian reservation and chattel loans to the Indians.